

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                  | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.        | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| 09/769,564                       | 01/25/2001     | Franklyn H. Story    | 10205.028                  | 4127             |
| 7                                | 590 03/01/2006 |                      | EXAMINER                   |                  |
| Paul F. Wille                    |                |                      | SINGH, RAMNANDAN P         |                  |
| 6407 East Clin<br>Scottsdale, AZ |                |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2646 |                  |
|                                  |                |                      |                            |                  |

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |   |  |  |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| Notice of Abandanment  | 09/769,564   | STORY ET AL.  |   |  |  |
| Notice of Abandonment  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |   |  |  |
|  | Singh, Ramnandan P   | 2646  |   |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app   | <u> </u>   | orrespondence ad                                    | dress                                   |  |  |
| This application is abandoned in view of:  |  |   |   |  |  |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office     (a)    A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of                           | failing or Transmission dated<br>month(s)) which expired on            | ··  |   |  |  |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does  |  | • •   | -                                       |  |  |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 cm.                                 | Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);                                    |   |   |  |  |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). |  |   |   |  |  |
| (d) ☐ No reply has been received.  |  |   |   |  |  |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).        |  |   |   |  |  |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory position Allowance (PTOL-85).   | s received on (with a Certificateriod for payment of the issue fee (ar | ate of Mailing or Tr<br>nd publication fee) s       | ansmission dated<br>et in the Notice of |  |  |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance   | e of \$ is due.  |   |   |  |  |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$  | The publication fee, if required by 37                                 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$                                  |   |  |  |
| (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no   | ot been received.  |   |   |  |  |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requested Allowability (PTO-37).  | uired by, and within the three-month p                                 | period set in, the No                               | tice of                                 |  |  |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on<br>after the expiration of the period for reply.  | _ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran                               | nsmission dated                                     | ), which is                             |  |  |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.  |  |   |   |  |  |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.  | e attorney or agent of record, the ass                                 | ignee of the entire i                               | nterest, or all of                      |  |  |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.   | attorney or agent (acting in a repres                                  | entative capacity ur                                | nder 37 CFR                             |  |  |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair   |  | se the period for see                               | king court review                       |  |  |
| 7. ☐ The reason(s) below:  |  |   |   |  |  |
|  | /6   | Barbara J Debna<br>Management & F<br>Art Unit: 3900 | Olbnum<br>m<br>Program Analyst          |  |  |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.             |  |   |   |  |  |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)  Notice of   | f Abandonment  | Р   | art of Paper No. 0                      |  |  |